

Consultee Comments for Planning Application

23/504471/OUT

Application Summary

Application Number: 23/504471/OUT

Address: Land At Moat Road Headcorn Maidstone TN27 9NT

Proposal: Outline application (with all matters reserved except access) for the development of up to 115 no. dwellings (Use Class C3) including demolition of existing buildings, new means of access into the site from Moat Road (not internal roads), associated highway works, provision of public open space, provision of shelter to replace curtilage listed building, emergency/pedestrian access to Millbank, and associated infrastructure including surface water drainage (with related off site s278 highway works to Moat Road).

Case Officer: Marion Geary

Consultee Details

Name: Headcorn Parish Council

Address: Beult House, Church Walk, Headcorn Ashford, Kent TN27 9NR

Email: Not Available

On Behalf Of: Headcorn Parish Council

Comments

Again there is nothing in this altered submission that makes any of HPC's previous objections invalid. Reducing the housing by five has not changed our overall objection that there is nothing right about the site to enable a development of this scale. There are no benefits to this scheme for either the people currently in the Village or the people who will potentially move into these houses should it be given the go ahead. This Village does not need either housing or social housing of this scale. The Villages population is only growing due to people coming here because of development. A very circular reasoning for building more. As for the social housing there is nothing in this remote rural area here for social housing tenants. Especially if they have children. Our school is over subscribed NOW let alone if you add potentially 115 more families. None of these fundamental questions we have asked previously can be answered by constantly titivating the edges of this most unpopular and ill-advised application, which would suggest to me that the applicant knows full well they dont have any answers that explain why this development should be given the go ahead. Consequently The committee wish to see this refused and referral to committee is required.