Site Ref: 310	Site Name: Land north of Moat Rd			Parish: Head	Parish: Headcorn (100%)				
Address	Land north of Moat Rd, Headcorn			AVAILABILITY					
Landowner	University of Cambridge	Landowner Consent?	Yes	Legal Constraints?	No				
Agent	Catesby Estates	Developer interest?	No	Nominated Capacity	150 units				
Current Use	Agricultural	Availability Date	now	Modelled Capacity	130 units				
Promoted Use(s)	Residential								
Site Area (Ha)	7.19 Brownfield/Greenfield Greenfield								
Site Description	Site is a wooded area with various treelines throughout the	P	Track						
	site, as well as at the edges of the site. There is a collection	Pand							
	of buildings in the southeastern corner of the site.			Pont	Portide				
Surrounding Uses	There is residential use to the east of the site. There are	Black Mill Farm		The state of the s					
	grassland fields across Moat Rd to the south, and to the								
	west of the site. A new housing development is under	En]		2					
	construction to the north of the site. There is a detached								
	residential property to the northwest of the site.		Millbank	23	E D				
Planning History	1986 – Refused conversion of oast to single dwelling. 1988			T	The Contract of the Contract o				
	 Refused outline application for two detached dwellings. 			Ownersh L					
	Approved pool house.			53	[2]				
	1989 – Approved outline application for erection of			9					
	dwelling and garage. 1990 – Approved vehicular access.			<u> </u>	DATA DE				
	1991 – Approved conversion of oast to B1 office,				etters.				
	demolition of existing buildings and refurb of granary. 1993			1 图					
	 Approved listed building consent to demolish and re- 			1 吊	STATE OF THE				
	build unstable farmhouse. 1995 – Refused demolition of			- E					
	existing dwelling and erection of three detached houses.			RE					
	1996 – Approved renewal to convert oast to B1 office use			Pond	EL CALLED				
	and demolition of existing building. Approved single storey	0 0.04974		Moat Farm	57				
	extension. 2001 – Approved demolition of existing garages	0 0.04974	b safe of		Brites S S				
	and erection of extension. Approved renewal of permission	kilometres	14						
	to convert oast to B1 office use, demolition of existing	Scale 1:3,316		19.8m	Willow Bark				
	buildings and refurbishment of granary. 2004 – Approved								
	enlargement of garage.								

2006 – Approved front and side extension. Approved certificate of lawfulness for existing development being the use of site for C3. 2007 – Approved erection of single storey extension. Approved demolition of existing outbuilding and erection of stables. Change of use of land for keeping of horses. Approved erection of one detached dwelling. 2008 – Approved demolition of barn and erection of replacement barn style garage and workshop. Approved erection of conservatory. 2012 – Approved conversion of storage building into 2 holiday lets. 2013 – Approved certificate of lawful development for erection of extension and front porch. 2016 – Approved lawful development certificate for extension. Approved outline application for residential development of up to 62 dwellings. Approved erection of first floor extension. 2017 – Approved reserved matters for 62 dwellings.

SUITABILITY									
Issue/ Constraint		Mitigation Required	Impact on developable land area/capacity/site suitability						
Access to Highway Network	Access achievable onto Moat Road, however there is a pinch point to the west of the site which causes the road to have insufficient width for requirements.	Widening of the pinch point on Moat Road, requiring 3rd party land.	Site recommended as unsuitable on access grounds due to the requirement for 3rd party land in order to achieve suitable access to the primary highways network.						
Access to Public Transportation & Services	No bus stops within 400m walking distance. There is a bus route running close to the site, but is has very low service frequency. A shop and a Primary School within 800m, but no GP. More than 30 minutes public transport time to town centre and over an hour to Hospital.	Provision of bus stops and safe pedestrian access to them (likely a new crossing) as well as increased service regularity.	Site is recommended unsuitable on access grounds due to being of insufficient scale to support the necessary bus service enhancements.						
Utilities Access	-	-	-						
Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty	-	-	-						
Ancient Woodland	-	-	-						
Sites of Special Scientific Interest	-	-	-						
Green Belt	-	-	-						

MBLP Landscapes	The Low Weald (100%)	The design of any future development should be	-
of Local Value	,	reflective of, and minimise impact on, the	
		designated landscape.	
Landscape	The Landscape Character Assessment identifies that t	-	
Character	landscape character area, which forms a part of the L		
	condition of the area is considered to be Good, and the		
	recommendation to Conserve.		
Local Nature	Ecology Score:3	Mitigation requirements will be dependent on the	-
Reserves	KCC Ecology comments - Two large grassland fields	results of any surveys. Likely that mitigation can	
Local Wildlife	with mature boundaries, pond within the NW and	be implemented onsite if presence of protected	
Sites	SE corner and buildings and rough grassland in the	species considered at design stage. Retention and	
Special Area of	SE corner. Surveys carried out as part of planning	enhancement of site boundaries will retain and	
Conservation	application 15/507424 confirmed GCN and	enhance connectivity to the wider area.	
Ecology (including	foraging/commuting GCN were recorded and are		
ponds)	likely to be present within this site.		
Hedgerows			
TPO/ Veteran	5 TPOs across the site	Protected trees should be incorporated into any	5% deduction from the
Trees		design.	developable site area.
Heritage	-	-	-
Archaeology	This site contains structural, archaeological and	Archaeological DBA and an Archaelogical	20% deduction from
	archaeological landscape features and remains	Landscape Assessment is needed to inform	developable site area.
	associated with The Moat/Moat Farm, a 16th development details. Preservaiton in situ of		
	century or earlier moated farm complex. There may	remains associated with The Moat, especially	
	also be remains of an underground ROC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Observation structure towards the northeast side of	be a constraint on development in SE corner.	
	the site, and there have been several metal	Clarification of the survival of the ROC post is	
	artefacts located on the site. There is also potential	needed too. Preliminary archaeological fieldwork	
	for prehistoric remains especially Iron Age.	is likely to be requested following deskbased	
		assessment predetermination.	
AQMA	-		-
Flood Risk	Flood Zone 2 (2.23%) / Flood Zone 3 (2.24%)	Development should be directed to the areas of lowest flood risk. On this site the open space	No deduction from the site's
		developable area.	

		should be directed to the most flood-prone						
		section.						
Drainage	Attenuation on site with discharge to MR; developme	_						
Contamination/	- victoriadion on site with discharge to with developme	_						
Pollution								
Land stability		_	_					
Utilities			_					
(underground)								
Public Rights of	Yes	PROW should be retained and enhanced through	Included within density					
Way	163	any development.	assumption.					
Pylons on site			-					
Neighbour/			_					
Residential								
amenity								
Neighbouring resi	Yes, adjacent residences.	Development will need to protect neighbouring	Included within density					
use?	res, adjacent residences.	residential amenity.	assumption.					
Other (add to list)	-	-	-					
CONCLUSION: Is	Yes							
the Site Suitable?								
	A	CHIEVABILITY						
Conditions	Widening of the pinch point on Moat Road, requiring	3rd party land. Provision of bus stops and safe pedes	strian access to them (likely a new					
required to make	crossing) as well as increased service regularity. Protected trees should be incorporated into any design. Development should be directed to							
site Suitable	the areas of lowest flood risk. On this site the open space should be directed to the most flood-prone section. PROW should be retained and							
	enhanced through any development. Development will need to protect neighbouring residential amenity.							
Exceptional	-							
Circumstances?								
Is site considered	Site is assumed to be viable for a policy-compliant scheme.							
to be viable?								
CONCLUSION: Is	Yes							
the Site								
Achievable?								

CONCLUSION Is the Site									
Available?	Suitable?	Achievable?							
Yes	Yes	Yes							

MODELLED DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE														
Original Site Area	7.19 Ha	7.19 Ha												
Deductions to Site Area	Constraints deduction: 1.	Constraints deduction: 1.08 Ha (Trees, Archaeology), Open Space deduction 1.28 Ha												
Net Developable Site Area	4.83 Ha	4.83 Ha												
Gross Floorspace (m²)	9,854 m ²	9,854 m ²												
Deliev Mix of Hees (Medelled m2)	Residential Units		B Use		A Use			Infrastructure						
Policy Mix of Uses (Modelled m ²)	116		-		-	-				-				
Policy density assumption 30 dph														
Madellad Dalisans Bata	(Units p.a.)		Permission Date			Commencement Year			Completions Begin					
Modelled Delivery Rate	50		2022			2023		2024						
Delivery Profile														
	2022-2027	2027-2032			2032-2037			2037+		2037+	+			
Resi (units p.a)														